

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,904	09/10/2003	Rosana Kapeller-Libermann	MPI00-010P1RCP1M	3441	
30405 7590 04/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MILLENNIUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. 40 Landsdowne Street			MONSHIPOUI	MONSHIPOURI, MARYAM	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1653	1653	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/200	6 .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/658,904	KAPELLER-LIBERMANN, ROSANA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Maryam Monshipouri	1653			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
'=	,—					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6,12,13,15,16 and 23-35</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12,13,23-25 and 29-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6,21,27 and 35</u> is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-16, 26, 28</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	·	•				
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) 🗆 :						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/658,904 Page 2

Art Unit: 1653

Applicant's response to restriction requirement filed 2/6/2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 5-6, 21, 27 and 35 are directed to an allowable product. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in MPEP § 821.04(b), claims 15-16, 26 and 28 are, directed to the process of making or using the allowable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104. Claims 12-13, 29-34, require all the limitations of an allowable product claim, and have NOT been rejoined because claim 12 (and its dependent claim 13) and claim 29 (and its dependent claims 30-34) are directed to methods of use of isolated polypeptides as well as those in a whole cell, which means that said polypeptides are not isolated.

Because a claimed invention previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 has been rejoined, the restriction requirement of Group V as set forth in the Office action mailed on 8/1/2005 is hereby withdrawn. In view of the withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the rejoined inventions, applicant(s) are advised that if any claims including all the limitations of an allowable product claim or rejoined process claim are presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once the restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

DETAILED ACTION

Application/Control Number: 10/658,904

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 15-16, 26 and 28 are under examination. Claims 5-6, 21, 27 and 35 are allowed for the reasons of record. Claims 12-13, 29-34 are withdrawn for the reasons explained above. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 14, 17-20, and 22 are canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 15-16, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "a polypeptide of claim 5", as recited in claim 15, (see preamble and part (a)) is unclear. Similarly the term "the activity" in the preamble and the body of claim 15 is unclear because it refers to the activity of "a polypeptide of claim 5" and it is no longer clear if applicant is referring to kinase activity (which is the only activity that the polypepitdes have support for) or some other activity. Appropriate clarification is required. Claims 16, and 26-28 are merely rejected for depending from a rejected base claim.

Claim 16 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "14171 kinase substrate" is unclear. This phrase has not been explicitly and specifically defined in the specification. In page 11, applicant provides some examples of said phrase such as myelin basic protein etc. which appear to be non-specific. Appropriate clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,904

Art Unit: 1653

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 26 has improper dependency as it appears to be directed to a separate invention (such as that recited in withdrawn claim 12). Applicant is well aware that a method of identifying modulators of kinase activity of the elected polypeptides deal with and utilize compounds which compete with the enzyme substrate for binding to the kinase active site and not with compounds that compete with the kinase binding to the ATP which occurs at a site different than the active site.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP \$ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maryam Monshipouri whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/658,904 Page 5

Art Unit: 1653

272-0932. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m to 4:30 p.m. except for alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weber Jon P. can be reached on (571) 272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mansh;

Maryam Monshipouri Ph.D.

Primary Examiner